







# Impact of new collider data in the NNPDF global analysis

Juan Rojo STFC Rutherford Fellow Rudolf Peierls Center for Theoretical Physics University of Oxford



Juan Rojo



#### **PDF** ... to the future

NNPDF3 fits with intrinsic charm



05/2016

NNPDF3.1 global analysis



#### NNPDF3.1QED fits

Updated determinations of  $\alpha_{\rm S}({\rm M}_{\rm Z})$  and  ${\rm m}_{\rm c}({\rm m}_{\rm c})$ from the global PDF fit

PDF fits with small-x (BFKL) resummation

#### **APFEL and APFELcomb**

Up to NNPDF3.0, PDF evolution and DIS structure functions were based on a (private) N-space code, **FKgenerator** 

From NNPDF3.1 we will adopt the public code **APFEL** for all theory calculations

**Extensive benchmarking** between the two codes performed, as well ad with other codes like **HOPPET and OpenQCDrad** 

For hadronic observables, **APPLgrid** and **FastNLO** grids are pre-convoluted with PDF evolution kernels to optimise fit performance using a new tool, **APFELcomb** (to be publicly released)

Observable	APPLGRID	APFELcomb
$W^+$ production	$1.03 \mathrm{\ ms}$	0.41  ms (2.5 x)
Inclusive jet production	$2.45 \mathrm{\ ms}$	$20.1 \ \mu s \ (120 x)$

*APFEL*: Bertone, Carrazza, Rojo, *arXiv*:1310.06515 *APFELcomb*: Bertone, Carrazza, Harland, *arXiv*:1605.02070





#### PDF4LHC Meeting, CERN, 13/09/2016

Juan Rojo

#### New datasets in NNPDF3.1

Measurement	Data taking	Motivation
LHCb W,Z rapidity dists 7 and 8 TeV	2011+2012	small-x and large-x quarks
D0 legacy W asymmetries	Run II	quark flavor separation
ATLAS inclusive jets 7 TeV	2011	large- <i>x</i> gluon
ATLAS low-mass Drell-Yan 7 TeV	2010+2011	small- <i>x</i> quarks
ATLAS Z pT 7,8 TeV	2011+2012	medium- <i>x</i> gluon and quarks
ATLAS and CMS tt differential 8 TeV	2012	large- <i>x</i> gluon
CMS Z (pT,y) 2D xsecs 8 TeV	2012	medium- <i>x</i> gluon and quarks
CMS Drell-Yan low+high mass 8 TeV	2012	small- <i>x</i> and large- <i>x</i> quarks
CMS W asymmetry 8 TeV	2012	quark flavor separation
CMS 8 TeV and 2.76 TeV jets Ratio	2012	medium and large- <i>x</i> gluon

#### LHCb Run I combination (muons)

Jeres LHCb has provided their **combination of all Run I measurements on W** -> μ ν and Z -> μ μ

- Reasonable description at NLO for NNPDF3.1, significant reduction of PDF uncertainties at large-x
- Solution States and St
- **LHCb 8 TeV electron data** also recently available



Juan Rojo

#### Tevatron legacy W asymmetries

Fine legacy Tevatron measurements on W asymmetries based on the full dataset now available

Gonsider only data at the **lepton level from D0** (exclude reconstructed W data from CDF)

Good agreement with NNPDF3.1 NLO, substantial reduction of PDF uncertainties: **improved flavor separation** 



#### Tevatron legacy W asymmetries

Final Figure Tevatron measurements on W asymmetries based on the full dataset now available

Gonsider only data at the **lepton level from D0** (exclude reconstructed W data from CDF)

Good agreement with NNPDF3.1 NLO, substantial reduction of PDF uncertainties: **improved flavor separation** 



Juan Rojo

The recent availability of **exact NNLO results** (*Czakon, Heines, Mitov, arxiv:1511.00549*) makes possible the inclusion of **top quark differential distributions** into the NNLO global analysis

Exploit the most recent 8 TeV data from ATLAS and CMS to constrain the large-*x* gluon PDF

Figure 3.1: These datasets will be **integral part of NNPDF3.1**: complementary constraints to jet production

Some **tension** between the ATLAS and CMS data observed: under investigation

M. Czakon, N. Hartland, A. Mitov, E. R. Nocera, JR, in preparation



The recent availability of **exact NNLO results** (*Czakon, Heines, Mitov, arxiv:1511.00549*) makes possible the inclusion of **top quark differential distributions** into the NNLO global analysis

Exploit the most recent 8 TeV data from ATLAS and CMS to constrain the large-*x* gluon PDF

Figure 3.1: These datasets will be **integral part of NNPDF3.1**: complementary constraints to jet production

Some **tension** between the ATLAS and CMS data observed: under investigation

M. Czakon, N. Hartland, A. Mitov, E. R. Nocera, JR, in preparation



0|

Juan Rojo

Data set nary	Fit ID									
Prelimit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
ATLAS $d\sigma/dp_T^t$	2.12	2.13	1.97	2.08	2.07	2.20	1.98	2.15	2.17	2.11
ATLAS $d\sigma/dy_t$	0.68	0.64	0.61	<b>0.72</b>	0.55	0.63	0.74	0.60	0.62	0.69
ATLAS $d\sigma/dy_{tar{t}}$	0.56	0.55	0.52	0.90	<b>0.31</b>	0.47	1.01	0.36	0.25	0.44
ATLAS $d\sigma/dm_{t\bar{t}}$	0.71	1.08	0.95	0.91	0.97	1.03	0.89	1.11	1.22	1.15
ATLAS $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dp_T^t$	4.06	7.38	4.28	6.06	5.22	6.37	4.10	6.97	6.52	7.38
ATLAS $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dy_t$	3.09	1.89	1.79	3.25	1.54	1.82	3.19	1.71	1.49	1.74
ATLAS $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dy_{tar{t}}$	2.04	1.34	1.27	3.15	0.66	1.53	3.85	1.01	0.37	1.71
ATLAS $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dm_{t\bar{t}}$	1.80	3.16	2.83	2.38	2.79	3.30	2.49	3.35	4.20	<b>3.57</b>
ATLAS $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$	3.29	1.46	1.93	2.74	2.84	2.82	1.70	1.64	1.57	1.58
CMS $d\sigma/dp_T^t$	12.0	7.85	2.98	9.51	8.32	9.84	5.53	7.35	5.51	6.73
$\mathrm{CMS}   d\sigma/dy_t$	3.59	3.65	3.97	<b>3.07</b>	4.48	4.16	3.24	3.90	4.93	4.12
${ m CMS}~d\sigma/dy_{tar{t}}$	1.10	0.88	0.88	0.98	1.03	1.06	0.90	0.99	1.16	1.09
${ m CMS}~d\sigma/dm_{tar{t}}$	5.91	4.02	4.42	4.73	4.42	4.07	4.67	3.93	3.44	3.72
${ m CMS}~(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dp_T^t$	3.30	3.94	2.84	3.67	3.08	3.44	2.94	3.60	2.98	3.67
CMS $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dy_t$	3.65	4.47	4.53	3.52	5.02	4.68	3.53	4.67	5.98	5.05
${ m CMS}~(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dy_{tar{t}}$	1.18	1.23	1.20	1.37	1.20	1.29	1.54	1.32	1.40	1.46
CMS $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dm_{t\bar{t}}$	9.94	7.31	7.86	8.60	7.89	7.23	8.47	7.12	6.16	<b>6.82</b>
${ m CMS}~\sigma_{ m tar t}$	4.15	0.50	1.38	1.49	1.60	1.99	1.39	0.77	0.56	0.60

 $\chi^2/N_{dat}$  for various combinations of top quark differential distributions added to the NNPDF3 global analysis

Some difficultly in fitting the CMS distributions at the same time as the ATLAS ones ....

Poor description of the top transverse momentum distributions, both ATLAS and CMS

Since **only one distribution per experiment** can be added at the same time in the global fit, need to determine the consistent choice which **maximizes the constrains on the large-x gluon** 



Significant constraints on **large-x gluon** from **global fit** without inclusive jet data (not available at NNLO) **Normalized distributions**, supplemented by total inclusive cross-sections, exhibit more constraining power



The additional constrains provided by the **normalized distributions** complement those of the **total crosssections**, which to begin with are already quite useful (*Czakon, Mangano, Mitov, Rojo, arxiv:1303.7215*)

#### The Z $p_{\rm T}\,distribution$ at the LHC

High-precision, theoretically very clean process

Comparison between NNLO calculations and LHC data with NNPDF3.0

Some tension for the unnormalized distributions even at NNLO - it is a PDF issue?

Juan Rojo



#### The Z $p_T$ distribution at the LHC

In NNPDF3.1 we will include the **ATLAS 7 TeV** (arXiv:1406.3660) and **8 TeV** (arXiv:1512.02192) **Z p**<sub>T</sub> distributions, as well as the corresponding **CMS 8 TeV data** (arXiv:1504.03511)

Agreement between data and theory (fixed input PDF) improves noticeably with NNLO corrections



Theory calculations from R. Boughezal, A. Guffanti, F. Petriello and M. Ubiali, in preparation

15

#### The Z $p_{\rm T}\,distribution$ at the LHC

**Preliminary NNPDF3.1 NNLO fits** suggest a **sizeable impact** of the **LHC Z p**<sub>T</sub> **data** on the PDFs



Including the LHC Z p<sub>T</sub> data could be a **game-changer** in global NNLO PDF fits .....

## CMS Drell-Yan 8 TeV $(y_{11}, M_{11})$ data

- The CMS 7 TeV Drell-Yan double-differential (y<sub>11</sub>,M<sub>11</sub>) distributions at 7 TeV are included in NNPDF3.0, and a good data vs theory description is achieved
- We are now investigating the information that can be obtained from fitting the same data taken at 8
   TeV, which exhibits substantially reduced experimental uncertainties



*CMS, arXiv:*1412.1115

#### Fitted charm stability

Free additional datasets included in NNPDF3.1, in particular the new Tevatron and LHCb measurements, further constrain the charm PDF

Results are **nicely consistent** with those of the NNPDF3 IC paper, with **reduced PDF uncertainties** 

Find the Impact of EMC data is now rather reduced when added on top of the NNPDF3.1 dataset



NLO, Q = 1.65 GeV

#### Fitted charm stability

First additional datasets included in NNPDF3.1, in particular the new Tevatron and LHCb measurements, further **constrain the charm PDF** 

Results are nicely consistent with those of the NNPDF3 IC paper, with **reduced PDF uncertainties** 

Find the second second



PDF4LHC Meeting, CERN, 13/09/2016

Juan Rojo

## NNPDF3.1 vs NNPDF3.0



- Preliminary results indicate **qualitatively good stability** with respect to NNPDF3.0
- All PDFs exhibit **reduced PDF uncertainties** at large-x region
- Gluon and singlet PDFs reasonably stable
- **Improved light quark flavor separation** from all the new electroweak data from Tevatron and LHC

#### Summary and outlook

Several new datasets included, from the HERA and Tevatron legacy data to precision LHC electroweak production measurements and top quark production differential distributions

Preliminary results indicate in general good stability with respect to NNPDF3.0, with main differences being a reduction of the large-x PDF uncertainties and an improved flavor separation, relevant for precision electroweak production data at the LHC

Fop quark differential distributions provide stringent constraints on NNLO large-x gluon

From **preliminary fits**, it seems that information on PDFs from the **Z transverse momentum** seems substantial, but more studies still required

Release of NNPDF3.1 foreseen before the end of the year!

#### Summary and outlook

Several new datasets included, from the HERA and Tevatron legacy data to precision LHC electroweak production measurements and top quark production differential distributions

Preliminary results indicate in general good stability with respect to NNPDF3.0, with main differences being a reduction of the large-x PDF uncertainties and an improved flavor separation, relevant for precision electroweak production data at the

Fop quark differential distributions pro

hanks for your attention PDFs from the **Z transverse momentum** From preliminar seems substantial,

NNPDF3.1 with fitted charm confirms and strengthens the conclusions of the NNPDF3 IC study concerning the charm content of the proton

Release of NNPDF3.1 foreseen before the end of the year!

Targe-x gluon