

#### Impact of the LHC W lepton asymmetry data on the determination of PDFs

Maria Ubiali RWTH, Aachen

in collaboration with the NNPDF collaboration:

R.D.Ball, L.Del Debbio(U. of Edinburgh)V. Bertone, A. Guffanti(U. of Freiburg)F. Cerutti, J.I. Latorre(U. of Barcelona)S. Forte, J. Rojo(U. of Milano)

EW precision physics at the LHC CERN, 4-5 April 2011

### Outline of the talk

- Introduction
  - Constraints from hadronic data
  - W lepton asymmetry data @ LHC 7 TeV
  - Reweighting PDFs
- The W lepton charge asymmetry data at the LHC
  - Predictions and compatibility
  - Impact on PDFs' uncertainty
- Conclusions and outlook

### Introduction Motivation

$$\sigma_X(s, M_X^2) = \sum_{a,b} \int_{x_{\min}}^1 dx_1 \, dx_2 f_{a/h_1}(x_1) f_{b/h_2}(x_2) \hat{\sigma}_{q_a q_b \to X} \left( x_1 x_2 s, M_X^2 \right)$$

 For most EW processes, PDFs represent dominant uncertainty

> PDFs uncertainty ~ 3-5%partonic  $\sigma$  uncertainty ~ 2-3%

How do LHC data (here the W lepton asymmetry data) constrain PDFs?

$$A_{l} = \frac{d\sigma(l^{+})/dy(l^{+}) - d\sigma(l^{-})/dy(l^{-})}{d\sigma(l^{+})/dy(l^{+}) + d\sigma(l^{-})/dy(l^{-})}$$



### Introduction Motivation

$$\sigma_X(s, M_X^2) = \sum_{a,b} \int_{x_{\min}}^1 dx_1 \, dx_2 (f_{a/h_1}(x_1) f_{b/h_2}(x_2) \hat{\sigma}_{q_a q_b \to X} \left( x_1 x_2 s, M_X^2 \right)$$

 For most EW processes, PDFs represent dominant uncertainty

> PDFs uncertainty ~ 3-5%partonic  $\sigma$  uncertainty ~ 2-3%

How do LHC data (here the W lepton asymmetry data) constrain PDFs?

$$rac{u(x_1)ar{d}(x_2)-d(x_1)ar{u}(x_2)}{u(x_1)ar{d}(x_2)+d(x_1)ar{u}(x_2)}$$



#### Introduction The up distribution



#### Introduction The down distribution



### W lepton asymmetry data @ LHC 7 TeV

$$A_{l} = \frac{d\sigma(l^{+})/dy(l^{+}) - d\sigma(l^{-})/dy(l^{-})}{d\sigma(l^{+})/dy(l^{+}) + d\sigma(l^{-})/dy(l^{-})}$$

#### • ATLAS:

W muon charge asymmetry (31pb<sup>-1</sup>) ArXiv: 1103.2929

#### CMS:

W muon and electron charge asymmetry (36pb<sup>-1</sup>) ArXiv: 1103.3470

#### • LHCb:

Preliminary forward W muon charge asymmetry (16.5pb<sup>-1</sup>) (courtesy of R. McNulty)



#### 7 TeV LHC parton kinematics



#### W lepton asymmetry data ATLAS



### W lepton asymmetry data CMS, electron

Data/ $\chi^2$	NNPDF2.1	CT10w	MSTW08
$CMS(36pb^{-1})$ electron $p_T > 25$ GeV	1.9	0.8	2.4
$CMS(36pb^{-1})$ electron $p_T > 30$ GeV	1.7	1.2	2.5
$ m CMS(36pb^{-1}) \ muon \ p_T > 25 \ GeV$	1.3	0.5	1.1
$CMS(36pb^{-1}) \text{ muon } p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$	0.8	0.6	1.3

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ 36pb<sup>-1</sup> luminosity |  $\eta$  | < 2.1



### W lepton asymmetry data CMS, muon

Data/ $\chi^2$	NNPDF2.1	CT10w	MSTW08
$CMS(36pb^{-1})$ electron $p_T > 25$ GeV	1.9	0.8	2.4
$CMS(36 pb^{-1})$ electron $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$	1.7	1.2	2.5
$ m CMS(36pb^{-1})~muon~p_T>25~GeV$	1.3	0.5	1.1
$CMS(36 pb^{-1}) muon p_T > 30 GeV$	0.8	0.6	1.3

√s = 7 TeV 36pb<sup>-1</sup> luminosity | η | < 2.1



#### W lepton asymmetry data LHCb



$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int \mathcal{O}[f] \mathcal{P}(f) Df = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}[f^{(k)}]$$

- Straightforward with NNPDF which provides MC sets.
- The ensemble of replicas gives a representation of probability density.
- Also possible with standard parametrization and Hessian minimization.



$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{new}} = \int \mathcal{O}[f] \mathcal{P}_{\text{new}}(f) \mathcal{D}f = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_k \mathcal{O}[f^{(k)}]$$

Update the "old" probability density upon the addition of new data



The reweighted ensemble forms a representation of the probability density of PDFs conditional on both "old" and "new" data

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\text{new}} = \int \mathcal{O}[f] \mathcal{P}_{\text{new}}(f) \mathcal{D}f = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_k \mathcal{O}[f^{(k)}]$$

 $\checkmark$  Can be done quickly and easily by anybody: all you need to do is to compute the  $\chi^2$  to the new data for each replica

#### ✓ Can determine

- Consistency of new data with the ones included in the prior fit
- Effect on PDFs errors and shapes without refitting
- Impact on predictions for any observable

$$\ln(N_{ ext{eff}}) = rac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_k \ln\left(rac{N}{w_k}
ight)$$

$$egin{array}{rcl} \chi^2_lpha&=&\chi^2/lpha\ w_k(lpha)&=&\left(\chi^2_lpha
ight)^{n/2-1}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\chi^2_lpha/2}\ P(lpha)&=&rac{\mathcal{N}}{lpha}\sum_{k=1}^N w_k(1)\cdot w_k(lpha) \end{array}$$

Rescale covariance matrix by a factor  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  and compute probability for the rescaling parameter.

- a) P ( $\alpha$ ) centered about 1 then data are consistent
- P (α) centered about value larger than
   1, possible underestimation of
   uncertainties or sign of tension

Compute effective number of reps: If  $N_{eff}$  small, two possibilities

- a) new data are very constraining
- b) new data show some tension



#### The prior probability The NNPDF2.1 parton set

Fit to DIS + DY (fixed target and collider) + Tevatron JETs data

Exact NLO evolution

HQ mass effects included, FONLL scheme [arXiv:1001.2312]

Unbiased parametrization provided by large NNetworks: no need of tuning it to data

Provides MC ensemble

NNPDF2.1 dataset



ArXiv:1101.1300





Slight reduction of uncertainty at medium-small x for light (anti)quark



Slight reduction of uncertainty at medium-small x for light (anti)quark



Slight reduction of uncertainty at medium-small x for light (anti)quark





Significant reduction of uncertainty in the medium-small x region



#### Significant reduction of uncertainty in the medium-small x region



Significant reduction of uncertainty in the medium-small x region





$\mathrm{Data}/\chi^2$	NNPDF2.1	NNPDF2.1 + rwt SET
$ATLAS + CMS p_T^l > 25 \text{ GeV}$	1.0	0.9
$ m ATLAS + CMS \ p_T^l > 30 \ GeV$	1.2	1.0

ATLAS and CMS are perfectly compatible



ATLAS and CMS data go in the same direction: no signs of tension
Inclusion of data in PDFs fits reduces uncertainty of more than 40% in the smallmedium x region for

light (anti)quark PDFsCMS data are more constraining than ATLAS data.



Precise measurements at the LHC allow better determination of the shape and discrimination between predictions.







Slight reduction of uncertainty in the large-x region, small-x unchanged

### Conclusions

#### Reweighting PDFs:

- Check the impact of data without need of performing a new fit.
- ✓ Quick and easy: all you have to do is compute a  $\chi^2$ .
- $\checkmark$  Method can be used to check compatibility of a new measurement with old data.

#### The LHC @7 TeV data:

- $\checkmark$  Constrain significantly light (anti)quark at small and medium x (ATLAS and CMS)
- ✓ Mildly constrain large x region (LHCb)
- Potential for discriminating predictions from different PDF sets

### Conclusions and outlook

#### **Reweighting PDFs:**

- Check the impact of data without need of performing a new fit.
- ✓ Quick and easy: all you have to do is compute a  $\chi^2$ .
- Method can be used to check compatibility of a new measurement with old data.

#### The LHC @7 TeV data:

- Constrain significantly light (anti)quark at small and medium x (ATLAS and CMS)
- Mildly constrain large x region (LHCb)
- Potential for discriminating predictions from different PDF sets

#### Upcoming:

- ✓ NNPDF2.2 including ATLAS and CMS W lepton asymmetry data
- More studies as new data become available



# **BACK-UP**

### **Bayesian** inference

 $y = \{y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n\}$   $\sigma_{ij}$  Experimental covariance matrix

Assume new data have Gaussian errors:

 $\rightarrow$  relative probabilities for the new data for different {f} are proportional to the probability density of the chi2 to the new data conditional on {f}

$$\mathcal{P}(\chi^2|f) \propto (\chi^2(y,f))^{n/2-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(y,f)}$$

 $\chi^2(y,f) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (y_i - y_i[f]) \sigma_{ij}^{-1}(y_j - y_j[f])$  Chi2 depends on the predicted value of y given {f}

 $\mathcal{P}_{\text{new}}(f) = \mathcal{N}_{\chi} \mathcal{P}(\chi^2 | f) \mathcal{P}_{\text{old}}(f)$  Chi2 probabili

Chi2 probability distribution

## Reweighting PDFs Tevatron JET data



- Start from DIS+DY fit (NNPDF20\_DIS+DYP.LHgrid).
- Add CDF and D0 inclusive jet production data through reweighting
- Compare to refitting (NNPDF20.LHgrid)
- Results are the same within statistical fluctuations.



#### The NNPDF2.1 parton set Impact of the old DY data



Unbiased parametrization provided by large NNetworks: no need of tuning it to data



## W lepton asymmetry data @ Tevatron

$$A_{l} = \frac{d\sigma(l^{+})/dy(l^{+}) - d\sigma(l^{-})/dy(l^{-})}{d\sigma(l^{+})/dy(l^{+}) + d\sigma(l^{-})/dy(l^{-})}$$

- CDF W charge asymmetry
- CDF electron charge asymmetry
- D0 muon charge asymmetry in single  $p_{T}{}^{\mu}$  bin

D0 electron charge asymmetry combined and separated p<sub>T</sub><sup>e</sup> bins
 D0 muon charge asymmetry combined and separated p<sub>T</sub><sup>µ</sup> bins

$$\frac{u(x_1)d(x_2) - d(x_1)u(x_2)}{u(x_1)d(x_2) + d(x_1)u(x_2)}$$





→ Constrain on d/u: 0.01 < x < 0.7

### **Tevatron DATA**

d/u: 0.01 < x < 0.7

✓ CDF W charge asymmetry: [arXiv:0901.2169] Fitted in NNPDF2.0  $\chi^2_{NNPDF2.0} = 1.85$ 

× CDF electron charge asymmetry [hep-ex/0501023]

✓ D0 muon charge asymmetry in single  $p_T^{\mu}$  bin [arXiv:0709.4254] Reweighted: compatible, little constraint

✓ D0 electron charge asymmetry in separated  $p_T^e$  bins [arXiv: 0807.3367] Reweighted: inclusive bin provides constraints and it is compatible, problem with exclusive measurements

× D0 muon charge asymmetry in separated  $p_T^{\mu}$  bins [D0 Note 5976-conf]

### PDFs probability density by Monte Carlo sampling













### Correlations W production



W lepton asymmetry data and W/Z production



With W and Z measured with 2% accuracy