



# THEORY UNCERTAINTIES $\stackrel{\text{IN}}{\text{PDF DETERMINATION}}$

### STEFANO FORTE UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO & INFN



**UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO** DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA



PHYSICS AT TEV COLLIDERS

Les Houches, June 14, 2019

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 740006

### SUMMARY WHAT ARE PDF UNCERTAINTIES?

- PDFs as proxies for observables
- NLO-NNLO SHIFT
- DIFFERENT SCALE CHOICES

#### THE THEORY COVARIANCE MATRIX

- MHOU AS NUISANCE PARAMETERS
- PRESCRIPTIONS
- THEORY CORRELATION
- VALIDATION: SHIFT VS COVARIANCE MATRIX

#### PDFs with THEORY UNCERTAINTIES

- FIT QUALITY AND TENSIONS
- DEPENDENCE ON THE PRESCRIPTION
- PHYSICAL OBSERVABLES

### THE MISSING HIGHER ORDER UNCERTAINTY

- DOMINANT THEORY UNCERTAINTY ON QCD PREDICTIONS  $\Rightarrow$  MHOU (SCALE)
- NOT INCLUDED IN PDF UNCERTAINTY
- WHAT IS IT?



#### MISSING HIGHER ORDER UNCERTAINTY ON FACTORIZED OBSERVABLES

 $\sigma = \hat{\sigma} \otimes f \otimes f$  schematically

 $\sigma(M_w^2) = \hat{\sigma}(M_w^2) \left[ \Gamma(M_w^2, Q^2) F_2(Q^2) \right]^2; \ \Gamma(M_w^2, Q^2) = \exp \int_{Q^2}^{M_W^2} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \gamma(\alpha)$ 

- HADRONIC XSECT= PARTONIC XSEC TIMES PDFs (CONVOLUTION)
- PDFs Are a proxy for another process (DIS)
- MUST EVOLVE BETWEEN TWO PROCESSES

SOURCES OF MHOU UNCERTAINTY

- MHOU IN THE "DRELL-YAN" XSECT  $\Rightarrow$  STANDARD SCALE VARN.
- MHOU IN THE STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS  $\Rightarrow$  TH. UNCERTAINTY ON PDFs (1)
- MHOU IN THE EVOLUTION  $\Rightarrow$  TH. UNCERTAINTY ON PDFs (2)



- TODAY: NLO PDF & MHOU UNCERTAINTIES COMPARABLE
- NEAR FUTURE: SHOULD WE WORRY ABOUT NNLO MHOU?

#### THE MISSING HIGHER ORDER UNCERTAINTY ON PDFS CAN WE ESTIMATE IT? SCALE VARIATION IN PDF FITTING

#### NAIVE IDEA FOR PDF MHOU ESTIMATE

- PERFORM FIT WITH VARIOUS SCALE CHOICES
- TAKE ENVELOPE OF RESULTS
- 7-POINT  $\Rightarrow$  OK!; 9-POINT  $\Rightarrow$  UNSTABLE!
- **RESULTS DEPEND STRONGLY ON THE CHOICE OF ENVELOPE**



#### THE THEORY COVARIANCE MATRIX

(NNPDF, 2019)

• ASSOCIATE MHOU TO NUISANCE PARAMETER  $\Rightarrow$  THEORY COVARIANCE MATRIX  $S_{ii}$ 

• 
$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k} \left( T_i^{(k)} - T_i^{(0)} \right) \left( T_j^{(k)} - T_j^{(0)} \right)$$
  
 $\left( T_i^{(k)} - T_i^{(0)} \right)$ : k-th shift of *i*-th datapoint about central prediction  $T_i^{(0)}$ 

• SHIFT: GUESS FOR POSSIBLE MHO TERMS  $\Rightarrow$  SCALE VARIATION



#### EXPERIMENTS AND PROCESSES

Datasets

Process Type

DIS NC

DIS CC

DY

JET TOP

- CLASSIFY DATA INTO PROCESSES
- PICK A SET OF SCALE VARIATIONS
- DECIDE HOW TO CORRELATE SCALE VARIATION BETWEEN DIFFERENT PROCESSES •
- **RENORMALIZATION**  $\Rightarrow$  **MATRIX ELEMENT**; FACTORIZATION  $\Rightarrow$  EVOLUTION

#### PDF THEORY ERROR AS A FIT UNCERTAINTY

• PDFs are determined by maximizing the likelihood

$$P = N \exp - \left(\frac{d-t}{2\sigma_{exp}^2}\right)$$

d, t are really vectors and  $1/\sigma^2$  the inverse covariance matrix

• CAN VIEW THIS AS THE PROBABILITY OF THE THEORY t BEING CORRECT GIVEN DATA d, WHICH BY BAYES IS

 $P(t|d) \propto P(d|t)P(t)$ 

- IF THEORY WAS KNOWN EXACTLY, THEN  $P(t) = \delta(t t^{\text{exact}})$
- IN ACTUAL FACT ONLY SOME PERTURBATIVE RESULT  $t_p$  is exactly known so  $t^{\text{exact}} = t_p + \Delta_p$ , where  $\Delta_p$  includes MHO
- Assuming  $\Delta$  to be Gaussianly distributed, with uncertainty  $\sigma_{\rm th}$  and integrating out

$$P = N \exp\left[rac{d - t_p}{2\left(\sigma_{exp}^2 + \sigma_{th}^2
ight)}
ight]$$

- THEORETICAL UNCERTAINTY ADDED IN QUADRATURE, PROPAGATES INTO PDF UNCERTAINTY UPON MINIMIZATION
- SCALE VARIATION FOR EACH DATA POINT  $\Rightarrow$  EIGENVECTOR OF COVARIANCE MATRIX (NUISANCE PARM.)

#### THE THEORY COVARIANCE MATRIX: PRESCRIPTIONS FACTORIZATION VS RENORMALIZATION SCALE

Scale	MHOU	'Traditional' name	'Modern' name[PDG]
$\left \begin{array}{c}\mu_r\\\mu_f\\\widetilde{\mu}\end{array}\right $	in hard xsec		renormalization scale
	in PDF evolution	renormalization scale	factorization scale
	in physical xsec	factorization scale	scale of the process

- $\mu_r \Rightarrow$  MHOU in hard cross section
- $\mu_f \Rightarrow MHOU$  in anomalous dimension

#### PRESCRIPTIONS

- **3 POINT**:  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_r = \mu_f$  uncorrelated between processes
- **5 point**,  $\overline{5}$  **point**, **9 point**:  $\mu_r \ \mu_f$  varied independently,  $\mu_r$  uncorrelated,  $\mu_f$  correlated
- **7 POINT**:  $\widetilde{\mu}$  added to **5** point



#### THE THEORY COVARIANCE MATRIX: CORRELATIONS

- INDEPENDENT NUISANCE PARAMETERS  $\Rightarrow$  TH. AND EXP. ERRORS COMBINE IN QUADRATURE  $\chi^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_{\text{dat}}} \left( D_i T_i^{(0)} \right) [S+C]_{ij}^{-1} \left( D_i T_i^{(0)} \right)$
- REN. SCALE ⇒ CORRELATIONS INDUCED BETWEEN EXPERIMENTALLY UNRELATED MEASUREMENTS OF SAME PROCESS
- FACT. SCALE  $\Rightarrow$  CORRELATIONS INDUCED BETWEEN DIFFERENT PROCESSES



**EXPERIMENT** 

THE COVARIANCE MATRIX

THEORY (9 PT)

Theory Covariance matrix (9 pt)





#### **EXPERIMENT**



#### EXP+THEORY ( $\overline{5}$ PT)



#### EXP+THEORY (7 PT)

Experimental + Theory Correlation Matrix (3 pt)

OIS NC

DIS N

DIS CC

DY

JETS

F

TOP



DISCC

I NI

50

IET TOP

#### EXP+THEORY (9 PT)



Experimental + Theory Correlation Matrix (5 pt)

CORRELATION MATRICES EXP+THEORY (3 PT) EXP+THEORY (5 PT)

#### THE THEORY COVARIANCE MATRIX: VALIDATION

- COMPARE NLO THEORY COVMAT TO OBSERVED NLO-NNLO SHIFTS
- DETERMINE EIGENVECTORS  $e_i$  of COVMAT  $\Rightarrow 28$  evecs for 9pt, five processes
- Determine vector of shifts  $\delta$
- DETERMINE PROJECTION OF  $\delta$  IN SUBSPACE SPANNED BY  $e_i$ : IS IT CONTAINED IN IT?
- DETERMINE SIZE  $\delta_i$  OF PROJECTIONS OF  $\delta$  ALONG  $e_i$ : ARE THEY OF COMPARABLE SIZE?







- ALL PRESCRIPTIONS BUT 3-PT PERFORM WELL
- ANGLE SCALES WITH NUMBER OF DATAPOINTS  $\Rightarrow$  MORE POINTS, WORSE AGREEMENT

•	ANGLE	DOMINATED	BY	WORSE	PROCESS
---	-------	-----------	----	-------	---------

PRESCRIPTION	Nauk	θ	PRESCRIPTION			θ		
				DIS NC	DIS CC	DY	JET	ТОР
3-рт	6	52 <sup>0</sup>	3-pt	$54^{\mathrm{O}}$	36 <sup>0</sup>	39 <sup>0</sup>	24 <sup>0</sup>	12 <sup>0</sup>
5-рт	8	33 <sup>0</sup>	5-рт	39 <sup>0</sup>	21 <sup>0</sup>	$25^{\mathrm{O}}$	17 <sup>0</sup>	11 <sup>0</sup>
<u>5</u> -pt	12	31 <sup>0</sup>	<u>5</u> -pt	38 <sup>0</sup>	17 <sup>0</sup>	23 <sup>0</sup>	22 <sup>0</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup>
7-pt	14	29 <sup>0</sup>	7-pt	35 <sup>0</sup>	17 <sup>0</sup>	22 <sup>0</sup>	16 <sup>0</sup>	3 <sup>0</sup>
9-PT	28	26 <sup>0</sup>	9-pt	$32^{\mathrm{O}}$	16 <sup>0</sup>	22 <sup>0</sup>	14 <sup>0</sup>	3 <sup>0</sup>



#### THE THEORY COVARIANCE MATRIX: VISUALIZING PROCESS IMPACT

- PROJECT THE SHIFT VECTOR  $\delta$  on each eigenvector
- LOOK AT THE INDIVIDUAL  $\sim 3000 \text{ components}$
- GROUP POINTS BY PROCESS
- RELATION BETWEEN SCALE VARIATION EIGENVECTORS & PROCESSES

projection of the shift vector along the four dominant eigenvectors



#### EQUALLY PRECISE BUT MORE ACCURATE RESULT!

CENTRAL VALUE MOVES TOWARDS KNOWN NNLO

- EXTRAPOLATION REGION: PDF UNCERTAINTY SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASES
- DATA REGION: PDF UNCERTAINTY ALMOST UNCHANGED
- RELATIVE ERROR  $\phi$  ON PREDICTION DOES NOT CHANGE
- FIT QUALITY  $\chi^2$  IMPROVES

	С	$C + S^{(3\mathrm{pt})}$	$C + S^{(9\mathrm{pt})}$
$\chi^2$	1.139	1.139	1.109
$\phi$	0.314	0.310	0.315



#### EQUALLY PRECISE BUT MORE ACCURATE RESULT!

CENTRAL VALUE MOVES TOWARDS KNOWN NNLO

- EXTRAPOLATION REGION: PDF UNCERTAINTY SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASES
- DATA REGION: PDF UNCERTAINTY ALMOST UNCHANGED
- RELATIVE ERROR  $\phi$  ON PREDICTION DOES NOT CHANGE
- FIT QUALITY  $\chi^2$  IMPROVES

	C	$C + S^{(3\mathrm{pt})}$	$C + S^{(9\mathrm{pt})}$
$\chi^2$	1.139	1.139	1.109
$\phi$	0.314	0.310	0.315



#### PDFS WITH THEORY UNCERTAINTIES

- Results mildly dep. On prescription  $\Rightarrow$  3pt closer to result w/o theory uncertainty
- "UNSTABLE" SCALE VARIATIONS  $\Rightarrow$  NO IMPACT ON FIT
- 7PT ENVELOPE RATHER MORE CONSERVATIVE ENVELOPE DOES NOT INCLUDE EXP. UNCERTAINTY



### STATISTICAL INDICATORS

Process		$n_{dat}$		$\chi^2/n_{ m dat}$ in the NNPDF3.1 global fits NLO					
		uat		$C + S^{(9pt)}$	$C + S^{(7\text{pt})}$	$C + S^{(3pt)}$	$C + S_{\text{fit}}^{(9\text{pt})}$	) $C + S_{\text{sampl}}^{(9\text{pt})}$	C
DIS DIS	5 NC 5 CC	1593 552	1.088 1.012	1.079 0.928	1.086 0.933	1.095 0.960	1.081 0.929	1.227 1.036	1.084 1.079
DY JE TO	rs P	484 164 26	1.486 0.907 1.260	1.447 0.839 1.012	1.485 0.858 1.016	1.483 0.901 1.077	1.461 0.848 1.001	1.434 0.911 1.264	1.231 0.950 1.068
Tot	TAL	2819	1.139	1.109	1.129	1.139	1.113	1.217	1.105
	Proci				$\phi$ in the N	INPDF3.1 GLOBAL F	TTS		
		288	$C \mid c$	$C + S^{(9\mathrm{pt})}$	$C + S^{(7\text{pt})} \qquad C$	$(Y + S^{(3pt)}) = C$	$C + S_{\mathrm{fit}}^{(9\mathrm{pt})}$	$C + S_{\text{sampl}}^{(9\text{pt})}$ N	NLO C
	DIS N DIS C	IC   0. IC   0.	C C 266 .389	$C + S^{(9pt)}$ 0.268 0.376	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{NI}\\ C + S^{(7\text{pt})} & C\\ 0.262\\ 0.367 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c c} LO \\ \hline & + S^{(3\text{pt})} \\ \hline & 0.261 \\ 0.391 \\ \end{array} \right  C$	$S + S_{fit}^{(9pt)}$ 0.261 0.369	$ \begin{array}{c c} C + S_{\text{sampl}}^{(9\text{pt})} & N \\ \hline 1.137 & 0 \\ 0.502 & 0 \end{array} $	NLO C 305 471
	DIS N DIS C DY JETS TOP	IC     0.       IC     0.       IC     0.       IC     0.       IC     0.	C C 266 389 361 295 375	$ \begin{array}{c} C + S^{(9pt)} \\ 0.268 \\ 0.376 \\ \hline 0.343 \\ 0.312 \\ 0.352 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{NI}\\ C + S^{(7\text{pt})} & C\\ 0.262\\ 0.367\\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} \text{0.340}\\ 0.279\\ 0.318\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c c c} LO \\ \hline & + S^{(3pt)} & C \\ \hline & 0.261 \\ \hline & 0.391 & \\ \hline & 0.358 \\ \hline & 0.291 \\ \hline & 0.331 & \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c}         C + S_{\rm fit}^{(9{\rm pt})} \\         0.261 \\         0.369 \\         0.349 \\         0.298 \\         0.319 \\         \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c c} C + S_{\text{sampl}}^{(9\text{pt})} & \\ \hline \\ 1.137 & 0 \\ 0.502 & 0 \\ \hline \\ 0.603 & 0 \\ 0.461 & 0 \\ 0.612 & 0 \\ \end{array}$	NLO C 305 471 380 392 363

- MILD PRESCRIPTION DEPENDENCE
- COVMAT ONLY IN FITTING  $\Rightarrow$  SAME CENTRAL VALUE, REDUCED UNCERTAINTY TH COVMAT RESOLVES TENSION





- MODERATE EFFECT ON UNCERTAINTIES
- VISIBLE SHIFT OF CENTRAL VALUES

## OUTLOOK

- INCLUSION OF MHOU THROUGH COVARIANCE MATRIX REASONABLY STABLE
- MORE DETAILED SCALE VARIATION PATTERNS TO BE EXPLORED
- NEXT STEP: APPLICATION TO NNLO GLOBAL FITS



### CORRELATIONS

- Harland-Lang, Thorne 2018: FACTORIZATION SCALE VARIATION "DOUBLE COUNTED" BETWEEN PDF AND HARD PROCESS
- RECALL FACTORIZATION SCALE  $\Rightarrow$  SCALE OF EVOLUTION
- INITIAL PDF SCALE AT SAME SCALE AS PROCESS  $\Rightarrow$  NO EVOLUTION UNCERTAINTY
- WOULD NEED A PDF SET FOR EACH SCALE  $\Rightarrow$  UNIVERSALITY BROKEN