

GLOBAL PDF FITS: CONNECTING LOW TO HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

LECTURE I & II



Maria Ubiali University of Cambridge

06th June 2023

HUGS2023

Goal of the lectures

Give an overview on our understanding on the structure of the proton: from Feynman parton model to modern QCD picture

Introduce basic concepts and techniques behind PDF global fits

➡ Wealth of ingredients involved from low to high energy: non-perturbative effects, perturbative QCD, experimental measurements, statistical and mathematical problems, higher order predictions, phenomenology tools, machine learning.

- Discuss PDF-related phenomenology at the LHC (mostly), EIC and beyond
- Discuss current frontiers and challenges

Disclaimer: these lectures are far from providing a complete picture of the topic. You can find complementary information in excellent lectures on PDFs from W. Giele, G. Salam, A. Martin, P. Nadolsky, S. Forte, D. Stump, W. Melnitchouk, D. Stump, A. Guffanti, J. Rojo ... at recent graduate schools

References

- G. Ridolfi "Notes on deep-inelastic scattering and the Parton model"
- Ellis, Stirling and Webber "QCD and collider physics"
- Dissertori, Knowles, Schmelling "Quantum Chromo Dynamics"
- "Proton structure at the precision frontier" Snowmass 2021 Whitepaper arXiv:2203.13923
- Kovarik, Nadolsky, Soper, arXiv:1905.06957
- Gao, Harland-Lang, Rojo *Phys.Rept.* 742 (2018) 1-121
- Forte, Watt Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 63 (2013)
- Perez, Rizvi, *Rep.Prog.Phys.* 76 (2013) 046201.
- Accardi, et al., *Eur. Phys. J.* C76 (8) (2016) 471
- http://pdg.lbl.gov/2021/reviews/rpp2021-rev-structure-functions.pdf

List of references complemented by specific references during the lectures

Outline

First two lectures (Today)

- Third & fourth lectures (Wednesday)
 - Ingredients of a PDF global fits
 - Experimental input
 - Methodological aspects
 - Theoretical aspects
- Fifth lecture (Thursday)
 - New frontiers and challenges

- Motivation:
 - the high energy big picture
- Parton Model and QCD
- Collinear Factorisation

Motivation: the high-energy big picture

Standard Model of particle physics

- Standard Model (SM) of particle physics one of the greatest triumph of Quantum Field Theories in the past century
- SM remarkably successful theory: no convincing deviations so far from its predictions, but necessarily incomplete





The collider opportunity

• The collider era gives us the unique opportunity to test theoretical predictions experimentally in a controllable environment



The collider opportunity

 $A + B \to M \quad \text{production in 2-particle collisions:} \quad M^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ <u>fixed target:</u> $p_1 \simeq (E, 0, 0, E) \quad \text{before} \quad \text{after}$ $p_2 = (m, 0, 0, 0) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet$ $M \simeq \sqrt{2mE}$

- root $E{\rm law}:$ large energy loss in $E_{\rm kin}$ - dense target: large collision rate / luminosity

circular collider: $p_1 = (E, 0, 0, E)$ beforeafter $p_2 = (E, 0, 0, -E)$ \longrightarrow \bigcirc $M \simeq 2E$
- linear Elaw: no energy loss
- less dense bunches: small collision rates
- synchrotron radiation: need powerful magnets

Collider	Site	Initial State	Energy	Discovery / Target
SPEAR (1972)	SLAC	e^+e^-	4 GeV	charm quark, tau lepton
PETRA (1978)	DESY	e^+e^-	38 GeV	gluon
SppS (1981)	CERN	$par{p}$	600 GeV	W, Z bosons
LEP (1989)	CERN	e^+e^-	210 GeV	SM: elw and QCD
SLC (1989)	SLAC	e^+e^-	90 GeV	elw SM
HERA (1992)	DESY	ep	320 GeV	quark/gluon structure of proton
Tevatron (1987)	FNAL	$p \overline{p}$	2 TeV	top quark
BaBar / Belle (1999)	SLAC / KEK	e^+e^-	10 GeV	quark mix / CP violation
LHC (2010)	CERN	pp	7/8/14 TeV	Higgs, EW, QCD at high E, New Physics
EIC	BNL	e^-p/e^-A	20 - 140 GeV	structure of gluon- dominated matter
ILC	JAPAN?		> 200 GeV	hi. res of elw sb / Higgs couplings
CLIC	CERN		3 - 5 TeV	hi. res of elw sb / Higgs couplings
FCC	CERN		100 TeV	disc. multi-TeV physics

Let's start from high energy...

- The Large Hadron Collider at CERN most powerful accelerator ever built
- Extremely successful Run I (7-8 TeV) and great performance at Run II (13-14 TeV)
- As luminosity increases, stronger probe on known processes (Higgs, Flavour anomalies...) & larger mass reach



Let's start from high energy...

- The Large Hadron Collider at CERN most powerful accelerator ever built
- Extremely successful Run I (7-8 TeV) and great performance at Run II (13-14 TeV)
- As luminosity increases, stronger probe on known processes (Higgs, Flavour anomalies...) & larger mass reach



A precision era in particle physics



ATLAS SM summary plot (2022)



ATLAS SM summary plot (2022)

Collider events: an experimental view



A top + Z candidate collision recorded by CMS. The tZq state is characterised by three leptons (in this case two electrons and one muon), a jet produced from decay of a bottom quark, and a forward jet that is close to the LHC beam direction (Image: CMS/CERN)

Collider events: a theory view

$$\sigma^{\text{th}} = \hat{\sigma}[\mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) + ...] \otimes f_1 \otimes f_2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2}\right)$$
• Hard scattering of partons or Partonic cross section (Perturbative QCD+EW)
• Parton Distribution Functions
• Parton Showering and Hadronization
• Multiple Parton Interaction, Underlying Events

Collider events: a theory view

- Collinear factorisation: the LHC master formula
- Divide et impera!



Perturbative expansion

One of the first tests of QCD was the measurement of the R-ratio, defined as

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)}$$



At leading order in QCD

$$R^{(0)} = N_c \sum_i e_i^2$$

First order QCD corrections (Next-to-Leading Order)



Perturbative expansion

One of the first tests of QCD was the measurement of the R-ratio, defined as



Second order QCD correction (NNLO = next-to-next-to-leading order)

$$R^{(2)} = R^{(0)} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} + \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^2 \left(c + \pi b_0 \log\left(\frac{M_{\rm UV}^2}{Q^2}\right) \right) \right) \qquad b_0 = \frac{11N_c - 4n_f T_R}{12\pi}$$

UV divergences do not cancel => Renormalisation procedure: the UV divergence is dealt with renormalisation of bare coupling

$$\alpha_S(\mu) = \alpha_S^{\text{bare}} + b_0 \log\left(\frac{M_{\text{UV}}^2}{\mu^2}\right) (\alpha_S^{\text{bare}})^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mu^2 \frac{d\alpha_S(\mu)}{\mu^2} = -b_0 \alpha_S^2(\mu) + \dots$$

$$\mu^{2} \frac{d\alpha}{d\mu^{2}} = \beta(\alpha) = -(b_{0}\alpha^{2} + b_{1}\alpha^{3} + b_{2}\alpha^{4} + \cdots) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Known up to } b_{4} \text{ (5 loops)} \\ \text{arXiv: 1606.08659, ...,} \\ \text{arXiv: 1709.08541} \end{array}$$

Roughly speaking, quark loop diagrams contribute with Nf negative terms in b_0 , while the gluon loop, diagram gives a positive contribution proportional to N_c , which is dominant and make the overall beta function negative.

In pQCD all theoretical predictions are expressed in terms of the renormalised coupling $\alpha_{s}(\mu^{2}_{R})$, a function of unphysical renormalization scale μ_{R} .

$$\hat{\sigma}^{ij \to ab} = (\alpha_s)^{k_0} \hat{\sigma}_0 + (\alpha_s)^{k_0+1} \hat{\sigma}_1 + (\alpha_s)^{k_0+2} \hat{\sigma}_2 + (\alpha_s)^{k_0+3} \hat{\sigma}_3 + \dots$$



[•] When one takes μ_R close to the scale of the momentum transfer Q in a given process, then $\alpha_S(Q^2)$ is indicative of the effective strength of the strong interaction in that process

$$\mu_R \sim Q$$

- Beside the quark masses, the only free parameter in the QCD Lagrangian is α_s
- The coupling constant not a physical observable, rather quantity defined in the context of perturbation theory, which enters predictions for measurable observables.

- Beside the quark masses, the only free parameter in the QCD Lagrangian is α_s
- The coupling constant not a physical observable, rather quantity defined in the context of perturbation theory, which enters predictions for measurable observables.
- PDG 2020 average from fit of specific experimental observables compared to predictions at a given order in pQCD



- Beside the quark masses, the only free parameter in the QCD Lagrangian is α_s
- The coupling constant not a physical observable, rather quantity defined in the context of perturbation theory, which enters predictions for measurable observables.
- PDG 2020 average from fit of specific experimental observables compared to predictions at a given order in pQCD



Example: CMS determination of m_t and α_S(M_Z) from tt~ production cross section in leptonic channel
 Results depend on experimental systematics (in D_i and cov_{ij}) and theoretical prediction T_i, which, for a given perturbative order depends on α_S(Q), which is the fit parameter









Gavin Salam lectures, Quy Nhon Vietnam 2018

$$\sigma(pp \to H) = (961 \text{ pb}) \times (\alpha_s^2 + 10.4\alpha_s^3 + 38\alpha_s^4 + 48\alpha_s^5 + \cdots)$$
$$\alpha_s \equiv \alpha_s(M_H/2)$$
$$\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 13 \text{ TeV}$$

Anastasiou et al., 1602.00695 (ggF, hEFT)

pp \rightarrow H (via gluon fusion) is one of the 5 hadron-collider processes known at N3LO.

The perturbative series does not converge well!

- On previous page, we wrote the series in terms of powers of $\alpha_{S}(M_{H}/2)$
- But we are free to rewrite it in terms of $\alpha_{s}(\mu)$ for any choice of renormalisation scale μ

LO

$$\sigma(pp \to H) = \sigma_0 \times \alpha_s^2(\mu)$$



Slide from Gavin Salam lectures Quy Nhon Vietnam 2018

- On previous page, we wrote the series in terms of powers of $\alpha_{\rm S}(M_{\rm H}/2)$
- But we are free to rewrite it in terms of $\alpha_{s}(\mu)$ for any choice of renormalisation scale μ



Slide from Gavin Salam lectures Quy Nhon Vietnam 2018

- On previous page, we wrote the series in terms of powers of $\alpha_{S}(M_{H}/2)$
- But we are free to rewrite it in terms of $\alpha_{s}(\mu)$ for any choice of renormalisation scale μ



Slide from Gavin Salam lectures Quy Nhon Vietnam 2018

- On previous page, we wrote the series in terms of powers of $\alpha_{\rm S}(M_{\rm H}/2)$
- But we are free to rewrite it in terms of $\alpha_{s}(\mu)$ for any choice of renormalisation scale μ



Slide from Gavin Salam lectures Quy Nhon Vietnam 2018



MHOU is estimated by change of cross section values when varying factorization and renormalization scales in range 1/2 - 2 around central scales



Here, only the renorm. scale $\mu(\equiv\mu_R)$ has been varied. In real life you need to change renorm. and factorisation (μ_F) scales.

Scale dependence is one of the <u>theory uncertainties</u> that characterise theory predictions

MHOU is estimated by change of cross section values when varying factorization and renormalization scales in range 1/2 - 2 around central scales



NNLO status (Slide from Giulia Zanderighi, LHCP 2023)

- LO: almost all processes
- NLO: most processes (automated calculations)
- NNLO: all $2 \rightarrow 1$, most $2 \rightarrow 2$ (explosion of calculations in the past few years)
- N3LO: Higgs gluon fusion, Higgs via vector boson fusion, bb \rightarrow H, W and Z

Collider events: a theory view

- Collinear factorisation: the LHC master formula
- Divide et impera!



PDF uncertainties

Yellow Report 3 (2013)



PDF uncertainties limiting factor in the accuracy of theoretical predictions

Higgs physics
Yellow Report 4 (2016)



Reduced (still often dominant) PDF uncertainties

Determination of SM parameters



ATLAS collaboration, EPJC 78 (2018) 110

 $\eta = -\ln\tan(\theta/2)$

									\bigcirc	
Channel	$m_{W^+} - m_{W^-}$	Stat.	Muon	Elec.	Recoil	Bckg.	QCD	EW	PDF	Total
	[MeV]	Unc.	Unc.	Unc.	Unc.	Unc.	Unc.	Unc.	Unc.	Unc.
$W \rightarrow e \nu$	-29.7	17.5	0.0	4.9	0.9	5.4	0.5	0.0	24.1	30.7
$W \rightarrow \mu \nu$	-28.6	16.3	11.7	0.0	1.1	5.0	0.4	0.0	26.0	33.2
Combined	-29.2	12.8	3.3	4.1	1.0	4.5	0.4	0.0	23.9	28.0



PDF uncertainties are a limiting factor in the accuracy of theoretical predictions, both within **SM** and **beyond**

Historia magistra vitae est

Discrepancy between QCD calculations and CDF jet data (1995)

At that time there was no information on PDF uncertainties and the theoretical prediction strongly depends on gluon shape at x>0.1

FINAL CTEQ FIT (1998)

Historia magistra vitae est

Discrepancy between QCD calculations and CDF jet data (1995)

At that time there was no information on PDF uncertainties and the theoretical prediction strongly depends on gluon shape at x>0.1

CTEQ re-performed the parton fit by including the jet data and the discrepancy was removed.

FINAL CTEQ FIT (1998)

CTEQ re-performed the parton fit by including the jet data and the discrepancy was removed.

Parton model and QCD

Historic overview

 <u>1955</u>: Hofstadter et al observed first deviations in scattering of electron off proton from simple point-like Mott scattering → Finite radius of proton ~ 0.7 fm

Electron Scattering from the Proton*†‡

ROBERT HOFSTADTER AND ROBERT W. MCALLISTER Department of Physics and High-Energy Physics Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California (Received January 24, 1955)

Historic overview

 <u>1964</u>: Zweig and Gell-Mann independently postulated existence of three aces (Zweig) or quarks (Gell-Mann) with fractional electric charge and spin-1/2 to explain proliferation of mesons and baryons in nucleon collision experiments. More of a mathematical model rather than particles! How could such objects be bound so tightly together?

Historic overview

 <u>1967</u>: First deep-inelastic scattering experiments at SLAC 20 GeV linear collider gave first evidence of point-like elementary constituents which were later identified as quarks (Bjorken scaling)

The surprising results at SLAC was that F1,2 did not vanish as Q2 increased, rather they remained finite and constant and depended only on xB - Bjorken scaling (1969)

Such scaling demonstrated that the exchanged vector boson (photon) scatters off point-like objects that have no mass or scale associated. The lepton scatters off charged spin 1/2 constituents (partons) that carry a fraction x of proton momentum

if portons were spin D.

Exercise I: Z contribution

• Show that, in the Parton model, considering also the contribution of a virtual Z boson and its interference with the photon one obtains:

$$F_2^{\gamma,Z}(x) = x \sum_{\substack{i=1\\n_f}}^{n_f} c_i [q_i(x) + \bar{q}_i(x)]$$
$$F_3^{\gamma,Z}(x) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{n_f} d_i [q_i(x) - \bar{q}_i(x)]$$

Where

$$c_{i} = e_{i}^{2} - 2e_{i}V_{eZ}V_{iZ}P_{Z} + (V_{eZ}^{2} + A_{eZ}^{2})(V_{iZ}^{2} + A_{iZ}^{2})P_{Z}^{2}$$

$$d_{i} = -2e_{i}A_{eZ}A_{iZ}P_{Z} + 4V_{eZ}A_{eZ}V_{iZ}A_{iZ}P_{Z}^{2}$$

$$P_{Z} = \frac{Q^{2}}{(Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})(4s_{w}^{2}c_{w}^{2})} \xrightarrow{c_{w} = \cos\theta_{w}} s_{w} = \sin\theta_{w}$$

Exercise I: Z contribution

Bosons	k_V	V_{lV}	A_{lV}
γ	e_l	1	0
	$1/(2\sin\theta_W\cos\theta_W)$	$I_3^l - 2e_l \sin^2 \theta_W$	- I_3^l
W^{\pm}	$V_{ll'}/(2\sqrt{2}\sin\theta_W)$	1	-1

Table 1.1: Coupling of fermions to the weak bosons. Here e_l is the electric charge measured in unit of the positron charge, I_3^l is the third component of the weak isospin, +1/2 for up-type quarks or neutrinos and -1/2 for down-type quarks or charged leptons. For charged current interactions involving quarks, the coefficients $V_{ll'}$ of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix [18]-[19] are involved. The parameter $\sin \theta_W$ is the Weinberg mixing angle.

Exercise II: Paschos-Wolfenstein relation

 Show that, in the Parton model, considering a (anti)neutrino-initiated DIS process on a deuteron target – assuming SU(2) isospin symmetry u_n(x)=d_p(x) and d_n(x) = u_p(x) – the ratio R

$$R = \frac{\sigma_{\rm NC}(\nu) - \sigma_{\rm NC}(\bar{\nu})}{\sigma_{\rm CC}(\nu) - \sigma_{\rm CC}(\bar{\nu})}$$

NC (mediated by Z) and CC (mediated by $W^{+/-}$), assuming strange and anti-strange to be equal in the target, is independent of Parton Distribution Functions and can be used to determined the Weinberg angle θ_W

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sin \theta_w^2 \right)$$

You may use (without deriving it) the result (and set c, cbar = 0)

$$F_{2}^{W^{-}} = 2x\left(u + \bar{d} + \bar{s} + c\right),$$

$$F_{3}^{W^{-}} = 2x\left(u - \bar{d} - \bar{s} + c\right),$$

$$F_{2}^{W^{+}} = 2x\left(d + \bar{u} + \bar{c} + s\right),$$

$$F_{3}^{W^{+}} = 2x\left(d - \bar{u} - \bar{c} + s\right),$$

The HERA collider

 $\frac{1992-2007}{\sqrt{S}} = 318 \,\text{GeV}$ $E_e = 27.5 \,\text{GeV}$ $E_p = 920 \,\text{GeV}$

Scaling violation

Parton model picture

PARTON MODEL

$$\sigma(e^{-}P_{-}, e^{-}X) = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{1} dx f_{i}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x P) = \int_{0}^{1} dx f_{i}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) + \int_{0}^{1} dx \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) + \int_{0}^{1} dx \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) + \int_{0}^{1} dx \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x) + \int_{0}^{1} dx \hat{\sigma}(x) \hat{\sigma}(x)$$

rect emission SUDAKOV PARAmetrisation Ŷ

$$\begin{split} \hat{\gamma} &= P_{0} \left(1, 0, 0, \pm 1 \right) \\ \eta &= \frac{1}{4\hat{P}_{0}} \left(1, 0, 0, -1 \right) \\ k_{T} &= \left(0, k_{T,1}, k_{T,2}, 0 \right) \\ k_{T} &= \left(0, k_{T,1}, k_{T,2}, 0 \right) \\ \vdots \text{ rom gluon on-shell condition, } k^{2} &= 0 = \right) k_{T}^{2} + 23(1-2)\hat{P} \cdot \eta = 0 =) \xi = -\frac{k_{T}^{2}}{1-2} \end{split}$$

 $M^2 = 0$

From
$$(p_{-}k)^{2}(0 =) Z(1)$$

From $(K_{3}=0 =) |K_{T}| < 4\hat{p}_{0}^{2}(1-Z)$

Parametrise phase space and integrate over azimuthal angle

$$\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k_0} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{d|k_T|^2 dz}{1-z}$$

Consider singular part of the amplitude

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{q, \text{sing}}^{(n)}(\hat{p}_{,k}) &= \Im_{S} \mathcal{A}_{;}(\hat{p}_{-k}) \frac{\hat{p}_{-k}}{(\hat{p}_{-k})^{2}} \notin (k) t_{i_{T}}^{*} U_{J}(\hat{p}) \\ &= \Im_{S} \frac{(n-2)}{k_{T}^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{;}(\hat{p}_{-k}) (z\hat{p}_{-k_{T}}) \notin (k) t_{i_{T}}^{*} U_{J}(\hat{p}) \\ &= -\frac{\Im_{S}}{k_{T}^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{;}(\hat{p}_{-k}) \left[2z \kappa_{T} \varepsilon(k)_{+} (n-2) k_{T} \notin (k) \right] t_{i_{J}J}^{*} (\hat{p}) \\ &= -\frac{\Im_{S}}{k_{T}^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{;}(\hat{p}_{-k}) \left[2z \kappa_{T} \varepsilon(k)_{+} (n-2) k_{T} \# (k) \right] t_{i_{J}J}^{*} (\hat{p}) \end{split}$$

$$\widehat{O}_{q,R}^{(n)}(\widehat{P}) = \frac{d_{S}}{2\pi} G_{F} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d^{2}}{1-2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d|K_{T}^{2}|}{1-2} \int_{0}^{1+2^{2}} \frac{1+2^{2}}{|K_{T}^{2}|} \widehat{O}_{q}^{(0)}(2\widehat{P})$$

The partonic cross section at NLO in α_S (associated with real emission of a gluon off a quark displays two singularities:

- **SOFT** singularity ($z \rightarrow 1$), which we regulate with parameter $\varepsilon (\rightarrow 0)$
- **COLLINEAR** singularity ($|k^2_T| \rightarrow 0$), which we regulate with parameter $\lambda^2 (\rightarrow 0)$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{q,k}^{(n)}(\widehat{P}) = \frac{q_s}{2\pi} C_F \int_{0}^{n-\varepsilon} \frac{d\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1\kappa_{T}/max} \int_{0}^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{1+\varepsilon^2}{1-\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{d\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \int$$

Add virtual corrections, which also have soft and collinear singularities

$$\hat{\sigma}_{V}^{(n)} = -\hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(0)}(\hat{p}) \underbrace{q_{U}}_{2\pi} C_{F} \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{d\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{$$

1421

The soft singularity cancels between real and virtual contributions but the collinear singularity still there. Trick: split integration

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q}(\hat{P}) = \hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(0)}(\hat{P}) + \hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(1)}(\hat{P})$$

$$= \hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(0)}(\hat{P}) + \frac{q_{s}}{2\pi}(q^{2}) \int_{0}^{1} dz P_{qq}(z) \hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(0)}(z\hat{P}) \frac{\log \frac{M_{F}}{\lambda^{2}}}{\lambda^{2}} + \frac{NON}{SINGULAR}$$

$$= \hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(0)}(\hat{P}) + \frac{q_{s}}{2\pi}(q^{2}) \int_{0}^{1} dz P_{qq}(z) \hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(0)}(z\hat{P}) \frac{\log \frac{M_{F}}{\lambda^{2}}}{\lambda^{2}} + \frac{NON}{SINGULAR}$$

Add LO and NLO correction from initial quark + NLO contribution from gluon-initiated process with gluon splitting into quark-antiquark pair

$$\hat{\sigma}_{g}(\hat{P}) = \frac{d_{s}(Q^{1})}{2\pi} \int dz P_{qg}(z) \hat{\sigma}_{q}^{(0)}(z\hat{P}) \log \frac{MF}{\lambda^{2}} + Non-SINGULAR$$

TERMS

 $P_{qq}(z)$ and $P_{qg}(z)$ are universal functions associated to quark and gluon splittings, called splitting functions

Plug into the parton model equation:

$$\sigma(P) = \int dx f_{q}(x) \widehat{\sigma}_{q}(x) + f_{q}(x) \widehat{\sigma}_{q}(xP) + f_{q}(x) + f_{q}(x) - f_{q}(xP) + f_{q}(x) + f_{q}(x)$$
Plug into the parton model equation:

Absorb collinear divergences into a redefinition of the parton distribution functions, which now depend on the factorisation scale

$$f_{q}(X, H_{F}^{2}) = \int \frac{dy}{Y} \left\{ f_{q}(y) \left[\delta(n - \frac{X}{Y}) + \frac{d_{s}}{2\pi} f_{qq}(\frac{X}{Y}) l_{xy} \frac{H_{F}^{2}}{X^{2}} \right] + f_{g}(y) \left[\frac{d_{s}}{2\pi} f_{qg}(\frac{X}{Y}) l_{xy} \left(\frac{M_{F}^{2}}{Y} \right) \right] \right\}$$

With this redefinition of the PDFs, both PDFs and partonic cross section are finite and they both acquired dependence on arbitrary factorisation scale

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(p) = \int dx f_q(x, \mu_F^2) \widehat{\sigma}_{\eta, Neg}(xp, \mu_F^2) + f_g(x, \mu_F^2) \widehat{\sigma}_{g, Neg}(xp, \mu_F^2)$$

From PDF redefinition (similar to renormalisation) note that the dependence of PDFs on the scale is totally fixed by perturbation theory.

DGLAP evolution equation, similar to renormalisation group equations for α_S

$$\mu^{2} \frac{2f_{q}(x,\mu^{2})}{2\mu^{2}} = \frac{d_{s}}{2\pi} \int \frac{dy}{y} F_{qq}(\frac{x}{y}) f_{q}(y,\mu^{2}) + F_{qg}(\frac{x}{y}) f_{g}(y,\mu^{2})$$

When you put all flavours in, get 13 coupled integro-differential equations, which can be reduced to 11 decoupled and 2 coupled equation (with a change of basis in the space of PDFs)

Dokshitzer, Gribov, Lipatov, Altarelli, Parisi equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} q_i(x,t) \\ g(x,t) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \sum_{j=q,\bar{q}} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \begin{pmatrix} P_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_{\xi}, \alpha_s(t) \end{pmatrix} & P_{ig} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_{\xi}, \alpha_s(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ P_{gj} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_{\xi}, \alpha_s(t) \end{pmatrix} & P_{gg} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_{\xi}, \alpha_s(t) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} q_j(\xi,t) \\ g(\xi,t) \end{pmatrix} \\ t = \log \frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2}$$



Splitting functions known up to NNLO:
 LO Dokshitzer; Gribov, Lipatov; Altarelli, Parisi (1977)
 NLO Floratos, Ross, Sachrajda; Floratos, Lacaze,
 Kounnas, Gonzalez-Arroyo, Lopez, Yndurain;
 Curci, Furmanski Petronzio, (1981)
 NNLO - Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt, 2004





Singlet evolution

$$\Sigma(x,\mu^2) = \Gamma_{qq} \otimes \Sigma(x,\mu_0^2) + \Gamma_{qg} \otimes g(x,\mu_0^2)$$

$$egin{aligned} P_{qq}^{(0)}(x) &= C_F\left[rac{(1+x^2)}{(1-x)_+} + rac{3}{2}\delta(1-x)
ight] \ P_{qg}^{(0)}(x) &= T_R\left[x^2 + (1-x)^2
ight] \end{aligned}$$

- High-x gluon feeds growth of small-x gluon and quark

- Gluons can be seen because they help drive the quark evolution



Non-singlet valence evolution

$$u_v(x,\mu^2) = \Gamma_{NS}^v \otimes u_v(x,\mu_0^2)$$

$$P_{NS}^{(0),v} \ = \ P_{qq}^{(0)}(x) \ = \ C_F\left[rac{(1+x^2)}{(1-x)_+} + rac{3}{2}\delta(1-x)
ight]$$

- As Q² increases partons lose longitudinal momentum; distributions all shift to lower x

- Gluons can be seen because they help drive the quark evolution

Functional dependence of PDFs on the scale is totally predicted up to NNLO accuracy by solving DGLAP evolution equations





Collinear factorisation

Collinear Factorisation Theorem

$$\frac{d\sigma_H^{ep \to ab}}{dX} = \sum_{i=-n_f}^{+n_f} \int_{x_B}^1 \frac{dz}{z} f_i(z,\mu_F) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_i^{ei}}{dX} (zS,\alpha_s(\mu_R),\mu_F) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda^n}{S^n}\right)$$
$$\frac{d\sigma_H^{pp \to ab}}{dX} = \sum_{i,j=-n_f}^{+n_f} \int_{\tau_0}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} f_i(z_1,\mu_F) f_j(z_2,\mu_F) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_i^{ij}}{dX} (zS,\alpha_s(\mu_R),\mu_F) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda^n}{S^n}\right)$$



Collinear Factorisation Theorem

$$\frac{d\sigma_H^{ep \to ab}}{dX} = \sum_{i=-n_f}^{+n_f} \int_{x_B}^1 \frac{dz}{z} f_i(z,\mu_F) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_i^{ei}}{dX} (zS,\alpha_s(\mu_R),\mu_F) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda^n}{S^n}\right)$$
$$\frac{d\sigma_H^{pp \to ab}}{dX} = \sum_{i,j=-n_f}^{+n_f} \int_{\tau_0}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} f_i(z_1,\mu_F) f_j(z_2,\mu_F) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_i^{ij}}{dX} (zS,\alpha_s(\mu_R),\mu_F) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda^n}{S^n}\right)$$





The structure of the proton has been a crucial ingredient to test and verify perturbative QCD and it is now key to the precision challenge that we are facing at the LHC

➡ Today's lecture

- Parametrisation of the proton in terms of structure functions
- ✓ Parton model picture
- ✓ QCD Improved parton model
- ✓ DGLAP evolution equations
- ✓ Collinear Factorisation Theorem

Extra material

Deep Inelastic Scattering

Slide from F Olness lectures CTEQ school 2017

$$\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)} = [\alpha_s \equiv \alpha_s(\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}})]$$
$$= R_0 \left(1 + 0.32\alpha_s + 0.14\alpha_s^2 - 0.47\alpha_s^3 - 0.59316\alpha_s^4 + \cdots\right)$$
Baikov et al., 1206.1288
(numbers for γ -exchange only)

This is one of the few quantities calculated to N4LO Good convergence of the series at every order (at least for $\alpha_s(M_z) = 0.118$)

Exercise I: Z contribution

• Show that, in the Parton model, considering also the contribution of a virtual Z boson and its interference with the photon one obtains:

$$F_2^{\gamma,Z}(x) = x \sum_{\substack{i=1\\n_f}}^{n_f} c_i [q_i(x) + \bar{q}_i(x)]$$
$$F_3^{\gamma,Z}(x) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{n_f} d_i [q_i(x) - \bar{q}_i(x)]$$

Where

$$c_{i} = e_{i}^{2} - 2e_{i}V_{eZ}V_{iZ}P_{Z} + (V_{eZ}^{2} + A_{eZ}^{2})(V_{iZ}^{2} + A_{iZ}^{2})P_{Z}^{2}$$

$$d_{i} = -2e_{i}A_{eZ}A_{iZ}P_{Z} + 4V_{eZ}A_{eZ}V_{iZ}A_{iZ}P_{Z}^{2}$$

$$P_{Z} = \frac{Q^{2}}{(Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2})(4s_{w}^{2}c_{w}^{2})} \xrightarrow{c_{w} = \cos\theta_{w}} s_{w} = \sin\theta_{w}$$

Solution I



Solution I



Exercise II: Paschos-Wolfenstein relation

 Show that, in the Parton model, considering a (anti)neutrino-initiated DIS process on a deuteron target – assuming SU(2) isospin symmetry u_n(x)=d_p(x) and d_n(x) = u_p(x) – the ratio R

$$R = \frac{\sigma_{\rm NC}(\nu) - \sigma_{\rm NC}(\bar{\nu})}{\sigma_{\rm CC}(\nu) - \sigma_{\rm CC}(\bar{\nu})}$$

NC (mediated by Z) and CC (mediated by $W^{+/-}$), assuming strange and anti-strange to be equal in the target, is independent of Parton Distribution Functions and can be used to determined the Weinberg angle θ_W

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sin \theta_w^2 \right)$$

You may use (without deriving it) the result (and set c, cbar = 0)

$$F_{2}^{W^{-}} = 2x\left(u + \bar{d} + \bar{s} + c\right),$$

$$F_{3}^{W^{-}} = 2x\left(u - \bar{d} - \bar{s} + c\right),$$

$$F_{2}^{W^{+}} = 2x\left(d + \bar{u} + \bar{c} + s\right),$$

$$F_{3}^{W^{+}} = 2x\left(d - \bar{u} - \bar{c} + s\right),$$

Exercise II: Paschos-Wolfenstein relation



 π^{0} we find R' \geq 0.50 contrasted with the experimental result R' \leq 0.14

. using only the assumption of (3, 3) resonance dominance. Applications

July 1972

are also given to anti-neutrino reactions.

Solution II



Solution II



Solution II













Detailed version 4/7





Detailed version 6/7



A precision era in particle physics



Some compelling questions



Degrassi et al, 2012

Some compelling questions



Some compelling questions



Super-Kamiokande observation of neutrino oscillations, 2004

F. Olness, CTEQ school 2017

